



SUNY Civic Education and Engagement and Civil Discourse Fellows Review Rubric for Dialogue Resources

Developed by Babette Faehmel at Schenectady Community College, Ashley Liegi-Mercado at Stony Brook University, and Joseph Scanlon at Monroe Community College, this document provides a rubric for reviewing websites and other venues that offer dialogues.

Website, Organization and/or URL: _____

Principle	Y	N	Comments
Participants from different viewpoints are represented; no side is favored			
Ground rules for dialogue is clearly articulated in an appropriate format at the beginning of the conversation			
Participants are encouraged to explore common ground despite differences in viewpoints and discouraged from engaging in adversarial rhetoric			
The importance of mutual respect, active listening, and understanding is made clear; the importance of personal values, beliefs, and experiences is emphasized			
Participants are invited to share why their values are important to them, and reminded of the importance of not dismissing views contrary to their own			
Participants are encouraged to explore the trade-offs, costs, and benefits of policy options and to evaluate policies from different perspectives			
There is a process in place for cases in which tensions escalate and it is conveyed to the participants			
The moderator demonstrates a commitment to impartiality and maintains a dialogue friendly environment			
There is a clearly articulated process for feedback and reflection in place			
Participants are provided with resources to continue their engagement with the issue after the dialogue			
Policies regarding data privacy and safety of personal information are indicated upfront and there is transparency about relevant processes.			

Other Comments: